

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Attorney Docket No. 05-105-A)

In re Application of:)	
)	
Young-il Lim et al.)	
)	Group Art Unit: 2617
Serial No.: 09/767,563)	
)	Examiner: Naghmeh Mehrpour
Filed: January 23, 2001)	
)	
For: Method for Controlling Overload in Digital)	
Mobile Communication System)	

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowance mailed July 28, 2006, the Applicants express appreciation for the allowance of the present application. Applicants understand that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entirety of each combination of steps and/or structure recited by each of the allowed claims.

In stating reasons for allowance of all of the claims, the Examiner appears to have used language from claim 1. Applicants understand the Examiner's use of this language to be merely convenient paraphrasing. Such paraphrasing, however, does not change the language set forth in any of the claims, and Applicants do not understand the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to have intended any such change. Thus, Applicants do not acquiesce

to any suggestion that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance changes the language set forth in any of the claims.

Furthermore, Applicants submit that the reasons for allowance are clear from the record of prosecution as a whole and, thus, a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Date: October 30, 2006

By: /Robert J. Irvine III/
Robert J. Irvine III
Reg. No. 41,865